## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

## Orders

## The 9th February, 1983

No. ID/FD/271/82/5093.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bubic II and the management M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Balbir II was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/3D/271/82/5100.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Surinder Singh and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Surinder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/271/82/5107.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Hari Bahadur and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hari Bahadur was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/271-82/5205.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Parbhaji and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudiction:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Parbhuji was justified and in order? If not, to, what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/271-82/5212.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amir Chand and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Amir Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 1D/FD/271/82 5219.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Surja Ram and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication 1.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Surja Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/271-82/5226.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Khadak Bahadur and the management of M/s. Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Khadak B. hadur was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/271/82/5233.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Duarika Parshad and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Sari Darak: Parshad was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 2 and February, 1983

No. 10/HS (75-82/729). Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Suci Krish in Kumar and the management of Haryana Roadways, Susa, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

and whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) section 10 of the industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3804-ASO (E)Lab-70/13048, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication 1—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Krishan Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?